

# Primary decomposition of the ideal of polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by a prime power

Giulio Peruginelli<sup>1</sup>

*Institut für Analysis und Comput. Number Theory, Technische Universität, Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 Graz, Austria.*

---

## Abstract

We characterize the fixed divisor of a polynomial  $f(X)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  by looking at the contraction of the powers of the maximal ideals of the overring  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  containing  $f(X)$ . Given a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $n$ , we also obtain a complete description of the ideal of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p^n$  in terms of its primary components.

*Keywords:* Integer-valued polynomial, Image of a polynomial, Fixed divisor, Factorization of integer-valued polynomials, Primary components, Primary decomposition. MSC Classification codes: 13B25, 13F20.

---

## 1. Introduction

In this work we investigate the image set of integer-valued polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The set of these polynomials is a ring usually denoted by:

$$\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \doteq \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since an integer-valued polynomial  $f(X)$  maps the integers in a subset of the integers, it is natural to consider the subset of the integers made by the values of  $f(X)$  over the integers and the corresponding ideal generated by this subset. This ideal is usually called fixed divisor. Here is the classical definition.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The **fixed divisor** of  $f(X)$  is the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the values of  $f(n)$ , as  $n$  ranges in  $\mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$d(f) = d(f, \mathbb{Z}) = (f(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

*We say that a polynomial  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is **image primitive** if  $d(f) = \mathbb{Z}$ .*

---

*Email address:* peruginelli@math.tugraz.at (Giulio Peruginelli)

It is well-known that for every integer  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$d(X(X-1)\dots(X-(n-1))) = n!$$

so that the so-called binomial polynomials  $B_n(X) \doteq X(X-1)\dots(X-(n-1))/n!$  are integer-valued (indeed, they form a free basis of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module; see [4]).

Notice that, given two integer-valued polynomials  $f$  and  $g$ , we have  $d(fg) \subset d(f)d(g)$  and we may not have an equality. For instance, consider  $f(X) = X$  and  $g(X) = X-1$ ; then we have  $d(f) = d(g) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d(fg) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then directly from the definition we have  $d(nf) = nd(f)$ . If  $\text{cont}(F)$  denotes the content of a polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , that is, the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of  $F$ , we have  $F(X) = \text{cont}(F)G(X)$ , where  $G \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a primitive polynomial (that is,  $\text{cont}(G)=1$ ). We have the relation:

$$d(F) = \text{cont}(F)d(G).$$

In particular, the fixed divisor is contained in the ideal generated by the content. Hence, given a polynomial with integer coefficients, we can assume it to be primitive. In the same way, if we have an integer-valued polynomial  $f(X) = F(X)/N$ , with  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can assume that  $(\text{cont}(F), N) = 1$  and  $F(X)$  to be primitive.

The next lemma gives a well-known characterization of a generator of the above ideal (see [1]).

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  be of degree  $d$  and set*

- 1)  $d_1 = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \frac{f(X)}{n} \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\}$
- 2)  $d_2 = \text{GCD}\{f(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- 3)  $d_3 = \text{GCD}\{f(0), \dots, f(d)\}$

then  $d_1 = d_2 = d_3$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . We remark that the value  $d_1$  of Lemma 1.1 is plainly equal to:

$$d_1 = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid f \in n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

and moreover, given an integer  $n$ , we have this equivalence that we will use throughout the paper:

$$f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset n\mathbb{Z} \iff f \in n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

From 1) of Lemma 1.1 we see immediately that if  $f(X) = F(X)/N$  is an integer-valued polynomial, where  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  coprime with the content of  $F(X)$ , then  $d(f) = d(F)/N$ , so we can just focus our attention on the fixed divisor of a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

We want to give another interpretation of the fixed divisor of a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  by considering the maximal ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  containing  $f(X)$  and looking at their contraction to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . We recall first the definition of unitary ideal given in [12].

**Definition 1.2.** An ideal  $I \subseteq \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is **unitary** if  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$ .

That is, an ideal  $I$  of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is unitary if it contains a non-zero integer, or, equivalently,  $I\mathbb{Q}[X] = \mathbb{Q}[X]$  (where  $I\mathbb{Q}[X]$  denotes the extension ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ ). The whole ring  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is clearly a principal unitary ideal generated by 1.

The next results are probably well-known, but for the ease of the reader we report them. The first lemma says that a principal unitary ideal  $I$  is generated by a non-zero integer, which generates the contraction of  $I$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, this lemma establishes a bijective correspondence between the nonzero ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the set of principal unitary ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $I \subseteq \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  be a principal unitary ideal. If  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \neq 0$  then  $I = n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . In particular,  $n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $n_1\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = n_2\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $n_1 = \pm n_2$ .

**Proof :** If  $I = (f)$  for some  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  then  $\deg(f) = 0$  since a non-zero integer  $n$  is in  $I$ . Since  $f(X)$  is integer-valued it must be equal to an integer and so it is contained in  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence we get the first statement of the lemma. If  $n_1\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = n_2\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  then  $n_1 = n_2f$  with  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ ; this forces  $f$  to be a non-zero integer, so that  $n_1$  divides  $n_2$ . Similarly, we get that  $n_2$  divides  $n_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $I_1, I_2 \subseteq \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  be principal unitary ideals. Then  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is a principal unitary ideal too.

**Proof :** Suppose  $I_i = n_i\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n_i\mathbb{Z} = I_i \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . We have  $n_1\mathbb{Z} \cap n_2\mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $n = \text{lcm}\{n_1, n_2\}$ . The ideal  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is unitary since  $n \in I_1 \cap I_2$ . In particular, we have  $I_1 \cap I_2 \supseteq n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . We have to prove that  $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ ; let  $f \in I_1 \cap I_2$ . Then  $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset n_1\mathbb{Z} \cap n_2\mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

The previous lemma implies the following decomposition for a principal unitary ideal generated by an integer  $n$ , with prime factorization  $n = \prod_i p_i^{a_i}$ . We have

$$n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_i p_i^{a_i}\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \prod_i p_i^{a_i}\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$

where the last equality holds because the ideals  $p_i^{a_i}\mathbb{Z}$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , hence they are coprime in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We are now ready to give the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The **extended fixed divisor** of  $f(X)$  is the minimal ideal of the set  $\{n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\}$ . We denote this ideal by  $D(f)$ .

Equivalently, in the above definition, we require that  $n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  contains the principal ideal in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by the polynomial  $f(X)$ . Lemma 1.2 and 1.3 show that the minimal ideal in the above definition do exists. Lemma 1.3 also says that  $D(f)$  is nothing else than the intersection of all the principal unitary ideals containing  $f(X)$ , so that the extended fixed divisor is contained in all of them. Notice that the extended fixed divisor is an ideal of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , while the fixed divisor is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The polynomial  $f(X)$  is image primitive if and only if its extended fixed divisor is the whole ring  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . In the next sections we will study the extended fixed divisor by considering the  $p$ -part of it, namely the principal unitary ideals of the form  $p^n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  being prime and  $n$  a positive integer.

The following proposition gives a link between the fixed divisor and the extended fixed divisor: the latter is the extension of the former and conversely. So each of them gives information about the other one.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then we have:*

- a)  $D(f) \cap \mathbb{Z} = d(f)$
- b)  $d(f)\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = D(f)$

**Proof :** Let  $d, D \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $d(f) = d\mathbb{Z}$  and  $D(f) = D\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $d(f)\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = d\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a principal unitary ideal containing  $f(X)$ , from the definition of extended fixed divisor, we have  $D(f) \subset d\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . In particular, we get  $D \geq d$ . We also have  $f(X)/n \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  and so  $d \geq D$ , by characterization 1) of Lemma 1.1). Hence we get a). From that we deduce that  $d(f) \subset D(f)$ , so statement b) follows.  $\square$

As already remarked in [5], the rings  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  share the same units, namely  $\{\pm 1\}$ . The Proposition 2.1 of [5] can be restated as follows.

**Proposition 1.2 (Cahen-Chabert).** *Let  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  be irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Then  $f(X)$  is irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if  $f(X)$  is not contained in any proper principal unitary ideal of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

The next lemma has been given in [6] and is analogous to Gauss Lemma for polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  which are irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 1.4 (Chapman-McClain).** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a primitive polynomial. Then  $f(X)$  is irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if it is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and image primitive.*

For example, the polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 + X + 2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  and also in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  since it is primitive (because of Gauss Lemma). But it is reducible in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  since its

extended fixed divisor is not trivial, namely it is the ideal  $2\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . So in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  we have the following factorization:

$$f(X) = 2 \cdot \frac{X^2 + X + 2}{2}$$

and indeed this is a factorization into irreducibles in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , since the latter polynomial is image primitive and irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ , and by [5], Lemma 1.1, the irreducible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}$  remain irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . So the study of the extended fixed divisor of the elements in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a first step toward studying the factorization of the elements in this ring (which is not a unique factorization domain).

Here is an overview of the content of the paper. At the beginning of the next section we recall the structure of the prime spectrum of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then, for a fixed prime  $p$ , we describe the contractions to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the maximal unitary ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  containing  $p$  (see Lemma 2.1). In Theorem 2.1 we describe the ideal  $I_p$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p$ , namely the contraction to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the principal unitary ideal  $p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , which is the ideal of integer-valued polynomials whose extended fixed divisor is contained in  $p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . It turns out that  $I_p$  is the intersection of the aforementioned contractions. In the third section we generalize the result of the second section to prime powers, by means of a structure theorem of A. Loper regarding unitary ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . We consider the contractions to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the powers of the prime unitary ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  (see Lemma 3.1). In Remark 2 we give a description of the structure of the set of these contractions; that allows us to give the primary decomposition of the ideal  $I_{p^n} = p^n\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , made up of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by a prime power  $p^n$ . We shall see that we have to distinguish two cases:  $p \leq n$  and  $p > n$  (see also the examples in Remark 3). In Theorem 3.1 we describe  $I_{p^n}$  in the case  $p \leq n$ . This result was already known in a slightly different context by Dickson (see [7, p. 22, Theorem 27]), but our different proof uses the primary decomposition of  $I_{p^n}$  and that gives an insight to generalize the result to the second case. In Proposition 3.2 we give a set of generators for the primary components of  $I_{p^n}$ , in the case  $p > n$ . Finally in the last section, as an application, we explicitly compute the ideal  $I_{p^{p+1}}$ .

## 2. Fixed divisor via $\text{Spec}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}))$

The study of the prime spectrum of the ring  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  began in [3]. We recall that the prime ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  are divided into two different categories, unitary and non-unitary. Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . If it is unitary then its intersection with the ring of integers is a principal ideal generated by a prime  $p$ .

**Unitary prime ideals:**  $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ .

In this case  $P$  is maximal and is of the form

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} = \{f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\alpha) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

for some  $p$  prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. We have  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} = \mathfrak{M}_{q,\beta}$  if and only if  $(p, \alpha) = (q, \beta)$ . So if we fix the prime  $p$ , the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are in bijection with the unitary prime ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  above the prime  $p$ .

**Non-unitary prime ideals:**  $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ .

In this case  $P$  is a prime (non-maximal) ideal and it is of the form

$$\mathfrak{B}_q = q\mathbb{Q}[X] \cap \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$

for some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  irreducible. By Gauss Lemma we may suppose that  $q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is irreducible and primitive.

Moreover,  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  is height 1 if and only if  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $q(X)$  is its minimal polynomial then  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \supset \mathfrak{B}_q$ . We have  $\mathfrak{B}_q \subset \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  if and only if  $q(\alpha) = 0$ . Every prime ideal of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is not finitely generated. For a detailed study of  $\text{Spec}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}))$  see [4].

If we denote by  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  the fixed divisor of  $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  viewed as a polynomial over the ring of  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (that is,  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is the ideal  $(f(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p)$ ), Gunji and McQuillan in [8] observed that

$$d(f) = \bigcap_p d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

where the intersection is taken over the set of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p) = d(f)\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Remember that given an ideal  $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and a prime  $p$  we have  $I\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $I \not\subset (p)$ , so that in the previous equation we have a finite intersection. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a PID we have  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p) = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ , for some integer  $n$  (which of course depends on  $p$ ), so that the exact power of  $p$  which divides  $f(\mathbb{Z})$  is the same as the power of  $p$  dividing  $f(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Without loss of generality, we can restrict our attention to the  $p$ -part of the fixed divisor of a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . We begin our research by finding those polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose fixed divisor is divisible by a fixed prime  $p$ , namely the ideal  $p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = (p, X - a)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is another  $p$ -adic integer, we have  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathfrak{M}_{p,\beta} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  if and only if  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{p}$ .*

**Proof :** Let  $a$  be an integer as in the statement of the lemma; it exists since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for the  $p$ -adic topology. We immediately see that  $p$  and  $X - a$  are in  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ . Then the conclusion follows since  $(p, X - a)$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not equal to

the whole ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . The second statement follows from the fact that  $(p, X-a) = (p, X-b)$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

The contraction of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  depends only on the residue class modulo  $p$  of  $\alpha$ . So, if  $p$  is a fixed prime, the contractions of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  for  $\alpha$  ranging in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are made up of  $p$  distinct maximal ideals, namely

$$\{\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} = \{(p, X-j) \mid j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}.$$

Conversely, the set of prime ideals of  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  above  $(p, X-j)$  is  $\{\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \alpha \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$ , since  $\mathfrak{B}_q$  are non-unitary ideals and  $p$  is the only prime integer in  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ .

For a prime  $p$  and an integer  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , we set:

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,j} = \mathcal{M}_j \div (p, X-j).$$

Whenever the notation  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$  is used, it will be implicit that  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

The next lemma computes the intersection of the ideals  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ , for a fixed prime  $p$ , by finding an ideal whose primary decomposition is this intersection. From now on we will omit the index  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime. Then we have*

$$\bigcap_{j=0, \dots, p-1} (p, X-j) = \left( p, \prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} (X-j) \right).$$

**Proof :** Let  $J$  be the ideal on the right-hand side. If  $P$  is a prime minimal over  $J$ , then we see immediately that  $P = \mathcal{M}_j$  for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_j$  is a maximal ideal. Conversely, every such a maximal ideal contains  $J$  and is minimal over it. Then the minimal primary decomposition of  $J$  is of the form

$$J = \bigcap_{j=0, \dots, p-1} Q_j$$

where  $Q_j$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_j$ -primary ideal. Since  $X-i \notin \mathcal{M}_j$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \setminus \{j\}$ , we have  $(X-j) \in Q_j$ , so indeed  $Q_j = (p, X-j)$  for each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ .  $\square$

The next proposition characterizes the principal unitary ideals in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by a prime  $p$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime. Then the principal unitary ideal  $p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is equal to*

$$p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}.$$

**Proof :** We trivially have that  $p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is contained in the above intersection, since  $p$  is in every ideal of the form  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ . On the other hand, this intersection is equal to  $\{f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset p\mathbb{Z}_p\}$ . If  $f(X)$  is in this intersection, since  $f(X)$  is integer-valued and  $p\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset p\mathbb{Z}$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $f(X)/p \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , that is  $f \in p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

In particular, the previous proposition implies that  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  does not have the finite character property (we recall that a ring has this property if every non-zero element is contained in a finite number of maximal ideals).

From the above results we get the following theorem, which characterizes the polynomials with integer coefficients whose fixed divisor is divisible by a prime  $p$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. The ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p$  is equal to*

$$p\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = \left( p, \prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} (X - j) \right).$$

Notice that Lemma 2.2 gives the primary decomposition of the ideal of the theorem, so  $\mathcal{M}_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$  are exactly the prime ideals belonging to it. As a consequence of this theorem we get the following well-known result: if  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is primitive and  $p$  is a prime such that  $d(f) \subseteq p$  then  $p \leq \deg(f)$ . This immediately follows from the theorem, since the degree of  $\prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} (X - j)$  is  $p$ .

We remark that by Fermat's little theorem the ideal on the right-hand side of the statement of Theorem 2.1 is equal to  $(p, X^p - X)$ . This amounts to saying that the two polynomials  $X \cdot \dots \cdot (X - (p-1))$  and  $X^p - X$  induce the same polynomial function on  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 3. Contraction of primary ideals

We remark that Proposition 2.1 also follows from a general result contained in [11]: every unitary ideal in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is an intersection of powers of unitary prime ideals (namely the maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ ). In particular, every  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ -primary ideal is a power of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  itself, since  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  is maximal. From the same result we also have the following characterization of the powers of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ :

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n = \{f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\alpha) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$



and that holds for every positive integer  $n$ . This fact implies the following expression for the principal unitary ideal generated by  $p^n$ :

$$p^n \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n. \quad (1)$$

We remark again that the previous ideal is made up of those integer-valued polynomials whose extended fixed divisor is contained in  $p^n \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Similarly to the previous case  $n = 1$  (see Theorem 2.1) we want to find the contraction of this ideal to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , in order to find the polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p^n$ . We set:

$$I_{p^n} \doteq p^n \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]. \quad (2)$$

Notice that by (1) we have  $I_{p^n} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X])$ .

Like before, we begin by finding the contraction to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $n$  a positive integer and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = (p^n, X - a)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{p^n}$ . The ideal  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary, where  $j \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is another  $p$ -adic integer, we have  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathfrak{M}_{p,\beta}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  if and only if  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{p^n}$ .*

**Proof :** The case  $n = 1$  has been done in Lemma 2.1. For the general case, let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $a \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^n}$  (again, such an integer exists since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for the  $p$ -adic topology). We have  $(p^n, X - a) \subset \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  (notice that if  $n > 1$  then  $(p^n, X - a)$  is not a prime ideal). To prove the other inclusion let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . By the Euclidean algorithm in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (the leading coefficient of  $X - a$  is a unit) we have

$$f(X) = q(X)(X - a) + f(a)$$

Since  $f(a) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $p^n | a - \alpha$  we have  $p^n | f(a)$ . Hence,  $f \in (p^n, X - a)$  as we wanted. Since  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n$  is a  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ -primary ideal in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  and the contraction of a primary ideal is a primary ideal, by Lemma 2.1 we get the second statement. Finally, like in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we immediately see that  $(p^n, X - a) = (p^n, X - b)$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{p^n}$ , which gives the last statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** We have the following remark. Given a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  we have

$$f \in (p^n, X - a) \iff f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n} \quad (3)$$

**Remark 2.** If  $p$  is a fixed prime and  $n$  is a positive integer we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,n} \doteq \{\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} = \{(p^n, X - i) \mid i = 0, \dots, p^n - 1\}.$$

Let us consider an ideal  $I = \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = (p^n, X - i)$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$ , with  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^n}$ . It is quite easy to see that  $I$  contains  $(\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X])^n = \mathcal{M}_{p,j}^n = (p, X - j)^n$ , where  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,  $j \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$  (notice that  $j \equiv i \pmod{p}$ ). If  $n > 1$  this containment is strict, since  $X - i \notin (p, X - j)^n$ . We can group the ideals of  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$  according to their radical: there are  $p$  radicals of these  $p^n$  ideals, namely the maximal ideals  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ . This amounts to making a partition of the residue classes modulo  $p^n$  into  $p$  different sets of elements congruent to  $j$  modulo  $p$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ ; each of these sets has cardinality  $p^{n-1}$ . Correspondingly we have:

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,n} = \bigcup_{j=0, \dots, p-1} \mathcal{I}_{p,n,j}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n,j} = \{(p^n, X - i) \mid i \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ . Every ideal in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n,j}$  is  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary and it contains the  $n$ -th power of its radical, namely  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}^n$ .

Now we want to compute the intersection of the ideals in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$ , which is equal to the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (see (1) and (2)). We can express this intersection as an intersection of  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary ideals as we have said above, in the following way (in the first equality we make use of equation (1) and Lemma 3.1):

$$I_{p^n} = \bigcap_{i=0, \dots, p^n-1} (p^n, X - i) = \bigcap_{j=0, \dots, p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j} \doteq \bigcap_{i \equiv j \pmod{p}} (p^n, X - i)$$

is a  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary ideal, for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ , since the intersection of  $M$ -primary ideals is a  $M$ -primary ideal (see [14]). We will omit the index  $p$  in  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j}$  and in  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$  if that will be clear from the context. The primary ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j}$  is just the intersection of the ideals in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n,j}$ , according to the partition we made. It is equal to the set of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  which modulo  $p^n$  are zero at the residue classes congruent to  $j$  modulo  $p$  (see (3) of Remark 1). We remark that (4) is the minimal primary decomposition of  $I_{p^n}$ . Notice that there are no embedded components in this primary decomposition, since the prime ideals belonging to it (the minimal primes containing  $I_{p^n}$ ) are  $\{\mathcal{M}_j \mid j = 0, \dots, p-1\}$ , which are maximal ideals.

We recall that if  $I$  and  $J$  are two coprime ideals in a ring  $R$ , that is  $I + J = R$ , then  $IJ = I \cap J$  (in general only the inclusion  $IJ \subset I \cap J$  holds). The condition for two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  to be coprime amounts to saying that  $I$  and  $J$  are not contained in a same maximal ideal  $M$ , that is,  $I + J$  is not contained in any maximal ideal  $M$ . If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are

two distinct maximal ideals then they are coprime, and the same holds for any of their respective powers. If  $R$  is Noetherian, then every primary ideal  $Q$  contains a power of its radical and moreover if the radical of  $Q$  is maximal then also the converse holds (see [14]). So if  $Q_i$  is an  $M_i$ -primary ideal for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $M_1, M_2$  are distinct maximal ideals, then  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are coprime.

Since  $\{\mathcal{M}_j\}_{j=0,\dots,p-1}$  are  $p$  distinct maximal ideals, for what we have just said above we have

$$\bigcap_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{n,j} = \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{n,j}.$$

Now we want to describe the  $\mathcal{M}_j$ -primary ideals  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ . The next lemma gives a relation of containment between these ideals and the  $n$ -th powers of their radicals.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,j} \supseteq \mathcal{M}_j^n.$$

**Proof :** The statement follows from what we said in Remark 2.  $\square$

As a consequence of this lemma, we get the following result:

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a fixed prime and  $n$  a positive integer. Then we have:*

$$I_{p^n} \supseteq \left( p, \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} (X - j) \right)^n.$$

**Proof :** By (4) and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$I_{p^n} = \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{n,j} \supseteq \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^n$$

where the last containment follows from Lemma 3.2. Finally, by Lemma 2.2, the product of the ideals  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$  is equal to

$$\prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^n = \left( p, \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} (X - j) \right)^n$$

Notice that the product of the  $\mathcal{M}_j$ 's is actually equal to their intersection, since they are maximal coprime ideals.  $\square$

The last formula of the previous proof gives the primary decomposition of the ideal  $(p, \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} (X - j))^n$ .

**Remark 3.** In general, for a fixed  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , the reverse containment of Lemma 3.2 does not hold, that is, the  $n$ -th power of  $\mathcal{M}_j$  can be strictly contained in the  $\mathcal{M}_j$ -primary ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$ . For example:

$$X(X-2) \in \left( \bigcap_{k=0,\dots,3} (2^3, X-2k) \right) \setminus (2, X)^3$$

Because of that, in general we do not have an equality in Corollary 3.1. For example, let  $p = 2$  and  $n = 3$ . We have

$$X(X-1)(X-2)(X-3) \in I_{2^3} \setminus (2, X(X-1))^3.$$

It is also false that

$$\bigcap_{i=0,\dots,p^n-1} (p^n, X-i) = \left( p^n, \prod_{i=0,\dots,p^n-1} (X-i) \right).$$

see for example:  $p = 2, n = 2$ :  $2X(X-1) \in \bigcap_{i=0,\dots,3} (4, X-i) \setminus \left( 4, \prod_{i=0,\dots,3} (X-i) \right)$ .

We want to study under which conditions the ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$ . Our aim is to find a set of generators for  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  in general. Without loss of generality, we proceed by considering the case  $j = 0$ . We set  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 = (p, X)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{n,0} = \bigcap_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} (p^n, X-i)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}^n$  then  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , by Lemma 3.2, so that  $f \in (p^n, X-i)$  for each  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . By formula (3) that means  $p^n | f(i)$  for each such an  $i$ . Let now  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ ; such a polynomial has the property that modulo  $p^n$  it is zero at the  $p^{n-1}$  residue classes congruent to 0 modulo  $p$  (for a general  $j$  we are looking for the polynomials which modulo  $p^n$  are zero at the residue classes congruent to  $j$  modulo  $p$ ).

We have

$$f(X) = q_1(X)X + f(0) \tag{5}$$

where  $q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  has degree equal to  $\deg(f) - 1$ . Since  $f \in (p^n, X)$  we have  $p^n | f(0)$ .

Since  $f \in (p^n, X-p)$ , we have  $p^n | f(p) = q_1(p)p + f(0)$ , so  $p^{n-1} | q_1(p)$ . By the Euclidean algorithm,

$$q_1(X) = q_2(X)(X-p) + q_1(p) \tag{6}$$

for some polynomial  $q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $m-2$ . So

$$f(X) = q_2(X)(X-p)X + q_1(p)X + f(0).$$

Notice that, if we set  $R_1(X) = q_1(p)X + f(0)$ , we have  $R_1 \in \mathcal{M}^n$ , since  $p^{n-1} | q_1(p)$  and  $p^n | f(0)$ . Since  $f \in (p^n, X-2p)$ , we have  $p^n | f(2p) = q_2(2p)2p^2 + q_1(p)2p + f(0)$ . If  $p > 2$

then  $p^{n-2}|q_2(2p)$ , because  $p^n|q_1(p)2p + f(0)$ . If  $p = 2$  then we can just say  $p^{n-3}|q_2(2p)$ . By the Euclidean algorithm again, we have

$$q_2(X) = q_3(X)(X - 2p) + q_2(2p)$$

for some  $q_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So we have

$$f(X) = q_3(X)(X - 2p)(X - p)X + q_2(2p)(X - p)X + q_1(p)X + f(0).$$

Like before, if we set  $R_2(X) = q_2(2p)(X - p)X + q_1(p)X + f(0)$ , we have  $R_2 \in \mathcal{M}^n$  if  $p > 2$ , or  $R_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  if  $p = 2$ .

We define now the following family of polynomials:

**Definition 3.1.** For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we set

$$G_{p,0,k}(X) = G_k(X) \doteq \prod_{h=0,\dots,k-1} (X - hp).$$

We also set  $G_0(X) \doteq 1$ .

From now on, we will omit the index  $p$  in the above notation.

Notice that the polynomials  $G_k(X)$ , whose degree for each  $k$  is  $k$ , enjoy these properties:

- i) For every  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $G_k(tp) = p^k t(t-1) \dots (t-(k-1))$ . Hence, the highest power of  $p$  which divides all the integers in the set  $\{G_k(tp) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $p^{k+v_p(k!)}$ . It is easy to see that  $k + v_p(k!) = v_p((pk)!)$ .
- ii)  $G_k(X) = (X - kp)G_{k-1}(X)$ .
- iii) since for every integer  $h$ ,  $X - hp \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $G_k(X) \in \mathcal{M}^k$ . We remark that  $k$  is the maximal integer with this property, since  $\deg(G_k) = k$ .

Recall that, by Lemma 3.2, for every integer  $n$  we have  $\mathcal{Q}_n \supseteq \mathcal{M}^n$ . By property iii) above we have  $G_k \in \mathcal{M}^n$  if and only if  $n \leq k$ . By property i) we have  $G_k \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  if and only if  $k + v_p(k!) \geq n$ . From these remarks, it is very easy to deduce that, in the case  $p \geq n$ , if  $G_k \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  then  $G_k \in \mathcal{M}^n$ . In fact, if that is not the case, it follows from above that  $k < n$ . Since  $n \leq p$  we get  $k + v_p(k!) = k$ . Since  $G_k \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , we have  $n \leq k$ , contradiction.

The next lemma gives a sort of division algorithm between an element of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and the polynomials  $\{G_k(X)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In particular, that will allow us to deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{M}^n$ , if  $p \geq n$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $n$  a positive integer. Let  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,n,0} = \mathcal{Q}_n$  be of degree  $m$ . Then for each  $1 \leq k \leq m$  there exists  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $m - k$  such that

$$f(X) = q_k(X)G_k(X) + R_{k-1}(X)$$

where  $R_{k-1}(X) \doteq \sum_{h=1,\dots,k-1} q_h(hp)G_h(X)$  for  $k \geq 2$  and  $R_0(X) \doteq f(0)$ . We also have  $q_k(X) = q_{k+1}(X)(X - kp) + q_k(kp)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Moreover, for each such  $k$  the following hold:

i)  $p^{n-v_p((pk)!)} | q_k(kp)$ , if  $v_p((pk)!) < n$ .

ii)  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  and if  $k < p$  then  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in \mathcal{M}^n$ .

iii) If  $m \leq p$  then  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

If  $m > p$  then  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p$  and  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  for  $k = p+1, \dots, m$ .

**Proof :** We proceed by induction on  $k$ . The case  $k = 1$  follows from (5), and by (6) we have the last statement regarding the relation between  $q_1(X)$  and  $q_2(X)$ . Suppose now the statement is true for  $k-1$ , so that

$$f(X) = q_{k-1}(X)G_{k-1}(X) + R_{k-2}(X)$$

with  $R_{k-2}(X) \doteq \sum_{h=1, \dots, k-2} q_h(hp)G_h(X)$  and

- $p^{n-v_p((p(k-1))!)} | q_{k-1}((k-1)p)$ , if  $v_p((p(k-1))!) < n$ ,
- $q_{k-1}((k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and if  $k-1 < p$  it belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^n$ ,
- $R_{k-2} \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  and if  $k-2 < p$  then  $R_{k-2} \in \mathcal{M}^n$ .

We divide  $q_{k-1}(X)$  by  $(X - (k-1)p)$  and we get

$$q_{k-1}(X) = q_k(X)(X - (k-1)p) + q_{k-1}((k-1)p)$$

for some polynomial  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $m-k$ . We substitute this expression of  $q_{k-1}(X)$  in the equation of  $f(X)$  at the step  $k-1$  and we get:

$$f(X) = q_k(X)(X - (k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X) + q_{k-1}((k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X) + R_{k-2}(X). \quad (7)$$

If we set  $R_{k-1}(X) \doteq q_{k-1}((k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X) + R_{k-2}(X)$  we get the expression of  $f(X)$  at step  $k$ , since  $(X - (k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X)$  is equal to  $G_k(X)$ . By the inductive assumption,  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  and if  $k-1 < p$  we also have  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$ .

Now we evaluate the expression (7) in  $X = kp$  and we get

$$f(kp) = q_k(kp)G_k(kp) + R_{k-1}(kp) = q_k(kp)p^k k! + R_{k-1}(kp).$$

Since  $p^n$  divides both  $f(kp)$  and  $R_{k-1}(kp)$  (by definition of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ ), if  $v_p((pk)!) < n$  we get that  $q_k(kp)$  is divisible by  $p^{n-v_p((pk)!)}$ , which is statement i) at the step  $k$ . Notice that  $q_k(kp)G_k(X)$  is zero modulo  $p^n$  on every integer congruent to zero modulo  $p$ ; hence,  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ . Moreover,  $k < p \Leftrightarrow v_p(k!) = 0$ , so in that case  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in \mathcal{M}^n$ . So ii) follows.  $\square$

Notice that by formula (3) of Remark 1, under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 we have for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  that

$$q_k \in (p^{n-k}, X - kp).$$

If  $k = m = \deg(f)$  then  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, we get the following expression for a polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  in the case  $p \geq n > m$  (we can assume  $n > m$  because  $X^n \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ ):

$$f(X) = q_m G_m(X) + R_{m-1}(X) = q_m G_m(X) + \sum_{k=1, \dots, m-1} q_k(kp) G_k(X) \quad (8)$$

where  $q_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  is divisible by  $p^{n-m}$  and  $R_{m-1}(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}^n$ .

The next proposition computes the primary components  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  of  $I_{p^n}$  of (4) in the case  $p \geq n$ . It shows that in this case the containment of Lemma 3.2 is indeed an equality.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and  $n$  a positive integer such that  $p \geq n$ . Then for each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$  we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,j} = \mathcal{M}_j^n.$$

**Proof :** It is sufficient to prove the statement for  $j = 0$ : for the other cases we consider the  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ -automorphisms  $\pi_j(X) = X - j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ , which permute the ideals  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{n,0}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0$ .

The inclusion  $(\supseteq)$  is just Lemma 3.2. For the other inclusion  $(\subseteq)$ , let  $f(X)$  be in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . We can assume that the degree  $m$  of  $f(X)$  is less than  $n$ , since  $X^n$  is the smallest monic monomial in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . By equation (8) above,  $f(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}^n$ , since  $p^{n-m}$  divides  $q_m$ ,  $G_m \in \mathcal{M}^m$  and  $R_{m-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$  by Lemma 3.3 (notice that  $m-1 < p$ ).  $\square$

We remark that in the case  $p \geq n$ , Lemma 3.3 implies that  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  is generated by  $\{p^{n-m} G_m(X)\}_{0 \leq m \leq n}$ : it is easy to verify that these polynomials are in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  (using (3) again) and (8) implies that every polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $\{p^{n-m} G_m(X)\}_{0 \leq m \leq n}$ , since  $q_m(mp)$  is divisible by  $p^{n-m}$ , for each of the relevant  $m$ .

The following theorem gives a description of the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in the case  $p \geq n$ . In this case the containment of the Corollary 3.1 becomes an equality.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and  $n$  a positive integer such that  $p \geq n$ . Then the ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p^n$  is equal to*

$$I_{p^n} = \left( p, \prod_{i=0, \dots, p-1} (X - i) \right)^n.$$

**Proof :** By Proposition 3.1, for each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$  the ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$ . So, by the last formula of the proof of Corollary 3.1, we get the statement.  $\square$

As a consequence, we have the following remark. Let  $p$  be a prime and  $n$  a positive integer less than or equal to  $p$ . Let  $f \in I_{p^n}$  such that the content of  $f(X)$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Then  $\deg(f) \geq np$ , since  $np = \deg(\prod_{i=0, \dots, p-1} (X - i)^n)$ . Another well-known result in this context is the following: if we fix the degree  $d$  of such a polynomial  $f$ , then the maximum  $n$  such that  $f \in I_{p^n}$  is bounded by  $n \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} [d/p^k] = v_p(d!)$ .

If we drop the assumption  $p \geq n$ , the ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  may strictly contain  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$ , as we observed in Remark 3. The next proposition shows that this is always the case, if  $p < n$ . This result follows from Lemma 3.3 as Proposition 3.1 does, and it covers the remaining case  $p < n$ . It is stated for the case  $j = 0$ . Remember that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{p,n,0}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and  $n$  a positive integer such that  $p < n$ . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{M}^n + (q_{n,p}G_p(X), \dots, q_{n,n-1}G_{n-1}(X))$$

where, for each  $m = p, \dots, n-1$ ,  $q_{n,m}$  is an integer defined as follows:

$$q_{n,m} \doteq \begin{cases} p^{n-v_p((pm)!)} & , \text{ if } v_p((pm)!) < n \\ 1 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{M}^n$  is strictly contained in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .

**Proof :** We begin by proving the containment  $(\supseteq)$ . Lemma 3.2 gives  $\mathcal{M}^n \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_n$ . We have to show that the polynomials  $\tilde{G}_m(X) = q_{n,m}G_m(X)$ , for  $m \in \{p, \dots, n-1\}$ , lie in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . By formula (3) in Remark 1 it is sufficient to prove that  $\tilde{G}_m(kp)$  is divisible by  $p^n$  for each  $k \in \{0, \dots, p^{n-1}-1\}$ . By property i) of the polynomial  $G_m(X)$  we have  $p^{v_p((pm)!)} | G_m(kp)$ . By definition of  $q_{n,m}$ , if we count the powers of  $p$  dividing it and  $G_m(kp)$ , we get the claim.

Now we prove the other containment  $(\subseteq)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  be of degree  $m$ . If  $m < p$  then  $f \in \mathcal{M}^n$  (see Lemma 3.3 and in particular (8)). So we suppose  $p \leq m$ . By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$f(X) = \sum_{h=p, \dots, m} q_h(hp)G_h(X) + R_{p-1}(X) \quad (9)$$

where  $R_{p-1}(X) = \sum_{h=1, \dots, p-1} q_h(hp)G_h(X) \in \mathcal{M}^n$  and  $q_{n,m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $q_m(mp) = q_{n,m}$ . Then, since  $q_{n,h} = p^{n-v_p((ph)!)} | q_h(hp)$  if  $v_p((ph)!) < n$ , it follows that the first sum on the right-hand side of the previous equation belongs to the ideal  $(q_pG_p(X), \dots, q_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X))$ . For the last sentence of the proposition, we remark that the polynomials  $\{q_{n,m}G_m(X)\}_{p, \dots, n-1}$  are not contained in  $\mathcal{M}^n$ : in fact, for each  $m \in \{p, \dots, n-1\}$ , by property iii) of the polynomials  $G_m(X)$  we have that the minimal integer  $N$  such that  $q_{n,m}G_m(X)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}^N$  is  $n - v_p(m!)$  if  $v_p((pm)!) = m + v_p(m!) < n$  and it is  $m$  otherwise. In both cases it is strictly less than  $n$  (since when  $m \geq p$  then  $v_p(m!) \geq 1$ ).  $\square$

The following remark allows us to obtain another set of generators for  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . We set

$$\overline{m} = \overline{m}(n, p) \doteq \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_p((pm)!) \geq n\} \quad (10)$$



Remember that  $v_p((pm)!) = m + v_p(m!)$ . If  $p \geq n$  then  $\overline{m} = n$  and if  $p < n$  then  $p \leq \overline{m} < n$ .

Suppose now  $p < n$ . Then for each  $m \in \{\overline{m}, \dots, n\}$  we have  $v_p((pm)!) \geq n$ , since the function  $e(m) = m + v_p(m!)$  is increasing. So for each such  $m$  we have  $q_{n,m} = 1$ , hence  $G_m \in (G_{\overline{m}}(X))$ . So we have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_n &= \mathcal{M}^n + (q_{n,m}G_m(X) \mid m = p, \dots, \overline{m}) \\ &= (q_{n,m}G_m(X) \mid m = 0, \dots, \overline{m}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $q_{n,m} = p^{n-m}$ , for  $m = 0, \dots, p-1$ , and for  $m = p, \dots, \overline{m}$  is defined as in the statement of Proposition 3.2. The containment  $(\supseteq)$  is just an easy verification using the properties of the polynomials  $G_m(X)$ ; the other containment follows by (9).

We can now group together Proposition 3.1 and 3.2 into the following one:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and  $n$  a positive integer. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = (q_{n,0}G_0(X), \dots, q_{n,\overline{m}}G_{\overline{m}}(X))$$

where  $\overline{m}$  is defined in (10), and for each  $m = 0, \dots, \overline{m}$ ,  $q_{n,m}$  is an integer defined as follows:

$$q_{n,m} \doteq \begin{cases} p^{n-v_p((pm)!)} & , m < \overline{m} \\ 1 & , m = \overline{m} \end{cases}$$

It is clear what the primary ideals  $\mathcal{Q}_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ , look like:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{n,j} &= \bigcap_{i \equiv j \pmod{p}} (p^n, X - i) = \mathcal{M}_j^n + (q_{n,p}G_p(X - j), \dots, q_{n,\overline{m}}G_{\overline{m}}(X - j)) \\ &= (q_{n,0}G_0(X - j), \dots, q_{n,\overline{m}}G_{\overline{m}}(X - j)) \end{aligned}$$

In fact, for each  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ , it is sufficient to consider the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  given by  $\pi_j(X) = X - j$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\pi_j(I_{p^n}) = I_{p^n}$ . Moreover,  $\pi(\mathcal{Q}_{n,0}) = \mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  and  $\pi(\mathcal{M}_0) = \mathcal{M}_j$  for each such  $j$ , so that  $\pi_j$  permutes the primary components of the ideal  $I_{p^n}$ .

The ideal  $I_{p^n} = p^n \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  was studied in [2] in a slightly different context, as the kernel of the natural map  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \Phi_n$ , where the latter is the set of functions from  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  to itself. In that article a recursive formula is given for a set of generators of this ideal. Our approach gives a new point of view to describe this ideal.

For other works about the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in a slightly different context, see [9], [10], [13]. This ideal is important in the study of the problem of the polynomial representation of a function from  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  to itself.

#### 4. Case $I_{p^{p+1}}$

As a corollary we give an explicit expression for the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in the case  $n = p + 1$ .

##### Corollary 4.1.

$$I_{p^{p+1}} = \left( p, \prod_{i=0, \dots, p-1} (X - i) \right)^{p+1} + (H(X))$$

where  $H(X) = \prod_{i=0, \dots, p^2-1} (X - i)$ .

We want to stress that the polynomial  $H(X)$  is not contained in the first ideal of the right-hand side of the statement. In [2] a similar result is stated with another polynomial  $H_2(X)$  instead of our  $H(X)$ . Indeed the two polynomials, as already remarked in [2], are congruent modulo the ideal  $(p, \prod_{i=0, \dots, p-1} (X - i))^{p+1}$ .

**Proof :** Like before, we set  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,p+1,j} = \mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j}$ . The containment ( $\supseteq$ ) follows from corollary 3.1 and because the polynomial  $H(X)$  is equal to  $\prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} G_p(X - j)$  and for each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$  the polynomial  $G_p(X - j)$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j}$  by proposition 3.2. Since  $\mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ , are exactly the primary components of  $I_{p^{p+1}}$  (see (4)), we get the claim.

Now we prove the other containment ( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $f \in I_{p^{p+1}}$ , so that  $f(X)$  belongs to each of its primary components  $\mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ . By Proposition 3.2 for each such  $j$  we have:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j} = (G_p(X - j)) + \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}$$

so that:

$$f(X) \equiv C_{p,j}(X)G_p(X - j) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$$

for some  $C_{p,j} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Since the ideals  $\{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1} = (p, X - j)^{p+1} \mid j = 0, \dots, p-1\}$  are coprime (because they are powers of distinct maximal ideals, respectively), by the Chinese Remainder Theorem we have the following isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{Z}[X] / \prod \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] / \mathcal{M}_0^{p+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}[X] / \mathcal{M}_{p-1}^{p+1} \quad (12)$$

We need now the following lemma, which tells us what is the residue of the polynomial  $H(X)$  modulo each ideal  $\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}$ :

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $H(X) = \prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} G_p(X - j)$ . Then for each  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$  we have*

$$H(X) \equiv -G_p(X - k) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_k^{p+1}}$$

**Proof :** Let  $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  and set  $I_k = \{0, \dots, p-1\} \setminus \{k\}$ . For each  $j \in I_k$  we have  $G_p(k-j) \equiv (k-j)^p \pmod{p}$ . We have

$$H(X) + G_p(X-k) = G_p(X-k)[1 + \prod_{j \in I_k} G_p(X-j)]$$

Since  $G_p(X-k) \in \mathcal{M}_k^p$  we have just to prove that  $T_k(X) = 1 + \prod_{j \in I_k} G_p(X-j) \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . By formula (3) in remark 1 it is sufficient to prove that  $T_k(k)$  is divisible by  $p$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(k) &\equiv 1 + \prod_{j \in I_k} (k-j)^p \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv 1 + \left( \prod_{s=1, \dots, p-1} s \right)^p \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv 1 + (p-1)!^p \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (1 + (p-1)!)^p \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

which is congruent to zero by Wilson's theorem.  $\square$

We finish now the proof of the corollary.

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that  $P(X) \equiv -C_{p,j}(X) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$ , for each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ . Then by the previous Lemma  $P(X)H(X) \equiv C_{p,j}(X)G_p(X-j) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$  and so again by the isomorphism (12) above we have

$$f(X) \equiv P(X)H(X) \pmod{\prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$$

so we are done since  $\prod_{j=0, \dots, p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1} = (p, \prod_{i=0, \dots, p-1} (X-i))^{p+1}$  (see the proof of Corollary 3.1).  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

I want to thank the referee for his/her suggestions. I wish also to thank Sophie Frisch for the useful references. I deeply thank Elvira Carlotti for her continuous and strenuous help. The author was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), Project Number P23245-N18.

### References

- [1] D. F. Anderson, P.-J. Cahen, S.T. Chapman and W. Smith, *Some factorization properties of the ring of integer-valued polynomials.*, Zero-dimensional commutative rings (Knoxville, TN, 1994), 125-142, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 171, Dekker, New York, 1995.

- [2] A. Bandini. *Functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  induced by polynomials of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$* . Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 181 (2002), no.1, pp. 95-104.
- [3] J.-L. Chabert, *Anneaux de polynômes à valeurs entières et anneaux de Fatou*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 99 (1971), 273-283.
- [4] J.-P. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert, *Integer-valued polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys and Monographs, 48, Providence, 1997.
- [5] J.-P. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert, *Elasticity for integral-valued polynomials.*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 103 (1995), no. 3, 303-311.
- [6] S.T.Chapman, B. McClain. *Irreducible polynomials and full elasticity in rings of integer-valued polynomials*, J. Algebra 293 (2005), no. 2, 595-610.
- [7] L. E. Dickson. *Introduction to the theory of numbers*. Chicago 1929.
- [8] H. Gunji and D. L. McQuillan. *On a class of ideals in an algebraic number field*. J. Number Theory 2 (1970) 207–222.
- [9] G. Keller and F.R. Olson. *Counting polynomial function (mod  $p^n$ )*. Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 835-838.
- [10] D. J. Lewis. *Ideals and Polynomial Functions*, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 71-77.
- [11] A. K. Loper. *Ideals of integer-valued polynomial rings*, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), no. 3, 833-845.
- [12] D. L. McQuillan. *On Prüfer domains of polynomials*. J. reine angew. Math. 358 (1985), 162-178.
- [13] I. Niven, L. J. Warren. *A generalization of Fermat's theorem*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 306-313.
- [14] D. G. Northcott. *Ideal Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1953.